

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/009,603	12/11/2001	Oswald Gasser	0475-0198P	4201
2292 7:	590 09/27/2004		EXAMINER	
	VART KOLASCH & B	LEWIS, RALPH A		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				W			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	10/009,603	GASSER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
	• •	Ralph A. Lewis	3732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the plant of the plant is a second of the	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	;			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mode, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	······•					
2a)□	a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the mer	its is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-13 and 16</u> is/are rejected.			,			
7)	Claim(s) 7,8,14 and 15 is/are objected to.		•				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E.	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.				
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
	•		an received in this National Stag	E			
* 9	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·				1			
Attachmen	nt(s) _.						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152))			
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>02082002</u> .	´ 6) ☐ Other: _					

Art Unit: 3732

Rejections based on 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 5, the "preferably" limitation is not understood. Is the limitation a requirement of the claim or is it not.

Rejections based on Prior Art

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 9, 10, 12, 13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sterns et al (US 3,903,252).

Stearns et al disclose a gel material that is "deformable" that is to be spread on a patients teeth as a "film" or in a dental tray (column 8, line 44). The gel material includes a dye or other coloring agent which selectively stains plaque formed on the teeth (i.e. a diagnostically useful additive that is locus specific) which leads to a

diagnostic result. In regard to claim 5, note examples 1 and 2 where the diagnostic additive comprise .55 wt%. In regard to claim 6, the Sterns et al gel material meets the broadly claimed "impression materials" limitation. In regard to claim 9, the Stearns et al method of applying plaque detecting gel material could be done before taking a picture image. All that is claimed is the process in preparation of images, with no actual imaging step claimed. In regard to claim 16, note the use of a dental tray (column 8, line 44) which would inherently give an impression in the gel material.

Claims 1-5 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kosti (US 4,459,277).

Kosti discloses a toothpaste material that forms a film when brushed on the teeth by a user that contains micro-encapsulated plaque disclosing dye. The capsules rupture when brushed on the teeth and stain the specific areas (locus) of the teeth that have plaque.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7, 8, 14 and 15 are objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the claims from which they depend.

Prior Art

Applicant's information disclosure statement of February 08,2002 has been considered and an initialed copy enclosed herewith.

Brilliant (US 3,309,274), Kashket (US 4,368,272), Shibuya et al (4,582,795), Rosenberg et al (US 4,976,951), Gathani (US 5,357,989), Moll et al (US 5,981,300), and Japanesse Patent 11-14624 are made of record.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to **Ralph Lewis** at telephone number **(703) 308-0770.** Fax (703) 872-9306. The examiner works a compressed work schedule and is unavailable every other Friday. The examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver, can be reached at (703) 308-2582.

R.Lewis September 21, 2004

Ralph A. Lewis
Primary Examiner
AU373 Z